# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jason Kokinda, : CIVIL ACTION

Petitioner,

:

vs. : **Case No. <u>13-cv-2202</u>** 

Brian Coleman, et al.,

Respondents, :

## MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

TO ANY JUDGE OTHER THAN DUBOIS:

Petitioner, Jason Kokinda, *pro se*, hereby moves to supplement the record with attached Exhibit, and represents:

- 1. The attached **Exhibit-Cote**, is being provided for the ease of disposition. In that, it highlights the proof of Mr. Kokinda's incontrovertible innocence.
  - (a) The attached Exhibit provides the relevant pages of the *Cote* citations in the § 2254 Memorandum and Traverse; and the Complaint filed by *Cote*.
  - (b) Together, validating Mr. Kokinda's testimony regarding same

#0!!!!!!!younggirlsex channel, that it was a *unique* chatroom, and that the characters on there would be understood to be adults role-playing teens *rhetorically*.

- (c) The Courts have failed to make **cogent** arguments regarding this evidence. Evidence which proves **absolute innocence**, because it proves the prosecution's *sole* criminal theory false.<sup>1</sup>
  - (1) The evidence is **merely ignored**, and the judges evade the fatal errors in the case.
  - (2) Using this method of adjudication, everyone is guilty!
    - (i) The man who has DNA evidence, the man who has a rock-solid alibi, the man who has video tape of what really happened; are all **guilty**, by simply ignoring the evidence and repeating over and over that they are guilty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prosecution alleged that Mr. Kokinda made up role-play as an **excuse** at time of arrest. The *Cote* Exhibit proves, that Mr. Kokinda was telling the **Truth** and that prosecutor knowingly suppressed every bit of role-play evidence; that they may frame him by any means necessary.

There is no other explanation for Cote and Kokinda being the only two defendants in the entire American jurisprudence; highlighting the unique *role-play* aspect of this same #0!!!!!!!younggirlsex chatroom, with their independent testimony of innocence fully harmonizing.

- (3) The current approach is to demonize Mr. Kokinda and **baselessly dictate**, that he *believed* that the agent was a twelve-year old girl, [despite the agents trying to **confuse** him that it was a typical no consequence role-play game with an adult].
  - (i) **This is absolute nonsense!** That simply allows the prosecution to suppress all evidence of **innocence**; and afterwards ignore the fatal errors by simply repeating that he is **guilty** over and over.
  - (ii) It seems to rely upon this unarticulated theory that,
    belief of age is proven by something other than "agerelated evidence." Proven by sending a picture of
    himself, proven by driving his car to speculatively meet
    person behind *pseudonym*. This is not "age-related"
    evidence. Or perhaps, the hidden theory is that guilt
    applies *per se*, because of risk it <u>could have been a minor</u> **confusing** its true identity. (The U.S. Supreme Court
    decision regarding the CDA, disallows that theory).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See § 2254 Memorandum, Pg. 43, at n.224

- (4) Similar criminal theories are:
  - (i) The defendant *was* the murderer, [despite the DNA evidence and video-footage incontrovertibly proving otherwise].
  - (ii) The defendant was the get-away driver, [despite the rock-solid alibi, and confession of actual driver].
  - (iii) The defendant *believed* that he could rob the plaintiff's bank account, when he attempted to fill-in and cash a blank signed check; [despite the plaintiff **confusing** him that the check was to be filled out to reclaim the full amount of debts owed].
- 2. If this is what the current court system does, then all of its members need to be hung for treason!
  - (a) Who wants to live in such a totalitarian state? I would personally rather be dead. "Give me Liberty or give me Death!"
- 3. The total record of these blatant denials of justice in face of such incontrovertible evidence, merely proves that Mr. Kokinda is being targeted by the Fracking Industry donors of Tom Corbett's

campaign.

(a) That is the only distinguishable factor in his case, that he was used as a political trophy for Fracking Industry sponsor Tom Corbett. And, that every rule in the book can be broken to insulate Corbett and his donors from public scrutiny.

## **CONCLUSION**

**THEREFORE**, for each of the foregoing reasons, the Court must supplement the record with this Exhibit, and consider it, in regards, to any *Cote* citation in the filings.

The only true lover of humanity,

/s/

Jason Kokinda P.O. Box 435 Montgomery Center, VT 05471 (802)-777-7259

jkoda@jkoda.org

#### SWORN CERTIFICATION

I, Jason Kokinda, certify under penalty of perjury that	the t	facts	set
forth in the foregoing documents are true and correct to	o the	best	of my
personal knowledge and belief.	-/		

Date: May 16th, 2017

1s/ Jason Holinda

Pro Se Petitioner

### PROOF OF SERVICE

Date: May 16th, 2017

I, Jason Kokinda, hereby certify under penalty of perjury that this day I am serving the foregoing documents in the manner listed below, which service satisfies the civil rules of procedure applicable to a habeas action under 42 U.S.C.S. §§ 2254.

Service by Online/ECF Mail to all of the following parties:

Plaintiff has not able to serve any documents on the Office of the Attorney General, since they began extorting him and criminalizing his filings in 2015. Because the criminalization of filings, implicates <u>Fifth Amendment Rights</u>; plaintiff is not able to seek appropriate redress in the Courts on this issue. The OAG attempts to fabricate evidence through discussion of malicious claims, as if they have merit. Seeking qualified language on words in filings to pursue irrational legal theories. The indelible record of corruption is their ultimate undoing.

Date: May 16th, 2017

/s/ Japon Wokinda 5, Montgomery Center, VT 05471

Jason Kokinda, P.O. Box 435, Montgomery Center, VT 05471 (802)-777-7259 (jkoda@jkoda.org)